

# INTRODUCTORY MORAL PHILOSOPHY

Page | 1

## Prof. Joseph Schear

Length: 8 weeks

#### **Structure:**

- 1st Week: four lectures

- 2<sup>nd</sup> Week - 8<sup>th</sup> Week: seven tutorial sessions

- Four academic writing sessions (two compulsory, two optional)

**Format:** Seven one-hour tutorials occurring online on a weekly basis. Timings will be communicated separately.

Assessment: One 2000-word essay to be submitted 2 days before the final tutorial.

Assessment Plan: Select your assessed essay title and provide a brief plan for it during tutorial 3.

# **Essay title options:**

• Your Tutor will set some topics once the programme has started.



### **Tutorial 1**

### Title: Mills' Utilitarianism

Brief description: Distinction between higher and lower pleasures.

Page | 2

# Suggested reading:

### Core reading:

- Mill, JS. Utilitarianism, chs 2 & 4
- Crisp, R. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism (Routledge, 1997), chs. 2 and 3.

### **Tutorial 2**

## **Title: Forms of Consequentialism**

Brief description: Act-consequentialism vs. Rule-consequentialism.

## Suggested reading:

# Core reading:

- Mill, JS. Utilitarianism, chs. 2, 3, & 5.
- John Rawls. "Two Concepts of Rules" In: The Philosophical Review 64 (1955)
- Roger Crisp. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism (Routledge, 1997), chapter 5



#### **Tutorial 3**

### **Title: Integrity and Alienation**

Brief description: Are Williams' objections convincing?

Page | 3

### Suggested reading:

### Core reading:

- Williams, B. "A Critique of Utilitarianism", in Smart, J.J.C. & Williams, B. Utilitarianism: For and Against (CUP, 1973), esp, sects. 3-5
- Williams, B. "Persons, Character, and Morality", in Williams' Moral Luck
- Williams, B. "Utilitarianism and Moral Self-Indulgence", in Williams' Moral Luck
- This session will include a short discussion of the essay topic and plan with some guidance from the Tutor.

### **Tutorial 4**

## Title: Alternatives to Consequentialism

Brief description: Can consequentialism accommodate agent-relative restrictions?

### Suggested reading:

### Core reading:

- Nagel, T., "Autonomy and Deontology", in Scheffler, S., ed., Consequentialism and Its Critics, (OUP, 1991), pp. 142-171.
- Roger Crisp. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism (Routledge, 1997), chapter 6



#### **Tutorial 5**

Title: The Proof of Utility

Brief description: Is Mill's proof convincing?

Page | 4

# Suggested reading:

### Core reading:

- Mill, J.S. chs 1 & 4
- Crisp, R. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism (Routledge, 1997), ch. 4
- Moore, G.E. Principia Ethica (CUP, 1903), ch. 3

#### **Tutorial 6**

**Title: Justice** 

Brief description: Is justice to be given up when inconvenient?

## Suggested reading:

#### Core reading:

- Mill J.S., ch. 5John Rawls. A Theory of Justice OUP 1999, rev. edition; Ch. 1 (esp. §§ 5 & 6)
- Crisp, R. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism (Routledge, 1997) ch. 7
- Essay submission 2 days before the final tutorial.

#### **Tutorial 7**

**Title: Virtue** 

Brief description: Is virtue ethics better than utilitarianism?

### Suggested reading:

# Core reading:

- Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics, trans. T. Irwin (Hackett, 2nd edn., 1999), bk. 1, ch. 7; bk. 2, chs. 1-7
- Foot, P. "Virtue and Vices", in her Virtues and Vices (Blackwell, 1978)
- Foot, P. "Utlitarianism and the Virtues" in Consequentialism and its Critics, ed. S. Scheffler
- Discussion of the essay and feedback.